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Abstract: The aim of this study is to map the special education teachers’ (SET) problems in inclusive school. This study used a mixed method research involving 265 SETs as respondents. The variables examined included: (1) SET regulation, (2) SET recruitment process, (3) SET employment status, (4) SET work guidelines, and (5) SET competence. Data were collected using a team-open questionnaire and a competence scale. The data was analyzed by quantitative and qualitative technique. The results of the study concluded that the existence of SET in inclusive schools still faced problems in terms of regulation, recruitment, employment status, work guidelines. In addition, the ministerial regulation No. 79 / 2009 about inclusive education has not been implemented optimally in inclusive schools. However, the teachers’ competence (pedagogical, professional, personality, social, and special education competence) of SETs in inclusive schools in Indonesia are mostly in good and adequate category. This study suggests that the government immediately organize the regulation of SET to guarantee the existence of SET in the future.

1 INTRODUCTION

Inclusive education is now becoming an important topic in education research in various countries (India, Nepal, Pacific region, Canada, South Africa, Arab, Madrid) around the world (Tillec, 2015; Maudslay, 2014; Miles and Maramusu, 2014; McCrimmon, 2014; Niomboe, 2011; Crawford and Williams, 2011; Bermejo et al., 2009). Inclusive education has become the topic of education research in all levels of education (Yusuf et al., 2017; Mackey, 2014; Sueo-Quo, 2013). Many studies show that implementation of inclusive education in schools has a positive effect on students, both students in general and those with special needs (Waldron and McLisky, 2009; Soland and Duhane, 1999). Thus, inclusive education is believed to be one of the solutions in expanding the access and improving the quality of education in schools (Waldron and McLisky, 2009; Soland and Duhane, 1999). Many previous researches above about inclusive education show the importance of inclusive education and inclusive school as the topics of education researches.

One important aspect of the inclusive school is the existence of special education teachers (SET). Many researches have been done by previous researchers associated with special education teachers (Douglas et al., 2016; Vernon-Dotson et al., 2014; Gehlke and Cocchiarella, 2013; Sindelar, Brownell, and Billingsley, 2010; Takala et al., 2009; Waldron, McLisky, and Pacciarino, 2009; Van Laarhoven et al., 2007). Several studies have focused on the preparation as SET in inclusive school (Walker, 2016; McCrimmon, 2014; Vernon-Dotson et al., 2014; Oyler, 2011; Van Laarhoven et al., 2009), the role of SET in inclusive school (Takala et al., 2009), the evolution of SET in inclusive school (Woolf, 2014), and the knowledge of SET in inclusive education (Gehlke and Cocchiarella, 2013). There is also a research that discusses the status and future direction of the SET (Sindelar et al., 2010). This study also discusses the future direction of the SET. However, this research is more focused on the analysis of the problems of SETs (regulation, recruitment process, employment status, and work guideline) and the competence of SETs in inclusive schools in Indonesia.

The existence of SETs in regular schools is one key to make the inclusive education better success. Legislation in Indonesia explained that each of the inclusive school is required to have at least one SET.
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(Ministry of Education Act No. 70/2009). However, the existence of SETs in inclusive schools has not been completely protected, both in the employment status and the career development. There is no specific regulation governing the existence of SET clearly. The existing regulation only explains about the existence of class teachers, subject teachers, and counseling teachers (Ministry of Empowerment and Bureaucratic Reform No. 16/2009). In short, the SET employment status in Indonesia has not been protected.

Thus, it is necessary to do the assessment and analysis relating to the existence of the SET in inclusive schools in Indonesia in terms of the problems faced and the competence of SET, so the best solution could be found. Therefore, the study aims to map the problems of SETs in Indonesia in five perspectives, namely (1) SET regulations in inclusive school, (2) SET recruitment process in inclusive school, (3) SET employment status in inclusive school, (4) SET work guidelines in performing their duties in inclusive school, and (5) SET competence.

2 METHODS

This study employed a mixed methods research (Creswell, 2009) conducted in May until October 2016. The subjects were 263 SET in inclusive schools in four districts/cities in Central Java Indonesia (Surakarta, Boyolali, Salatiga, and Wonogiri) obtained by purposive random sampling technique. The research variables examined included (1) SET regulations; (2) SET recruitment process; (3) SET employment status; (4) SET work guideline; and (5) SET competence.

The data were collected by a semi-open questionnaire (9 quantitative and qualitative questions) to measure the SET problems in inclusive schools (regulation, recruitment process, employment status, and work guideline) and a competence scale (95 statements) to measure the SET competences in inclusive schools (professional, pedagogical, social, personality, and special education competence). Validity test results (range from 0.422 to 0.765) indicated that the scale was valid, while the reliability test results with internal consistency (Cronbach’s alpha formulation = 0.751) indicated that the scale was reliable. Furthermore, the collected data were analyzed using quantitative and qualitative analysis using trend analysis and percentage of each of the variables studied. Qualitative data was used to complete and explain the quantitative data.

3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

3.1 Regulation of Inclusive Education and SET in Inclusive School

The result showed that there are still 36.1% inclusive schools which have not had a regulation of inclusive education, while 63.9% inclusive schools have had it. However, the legislation in Indonesia regulation stated that each of the inclusive school is required to have at least 1 SET (Ministry of Education Act No. 70/2009). It can be concluded that the existence of inclusive education in inclusive schools has not had a strong legislation. Thus, not all of the inclusive schools get the same service fostering from government.

Furthermore, 66.0% inclusive schools have not had a regulation of SET, while only 34.0% inclusive schools have had the regulation. It can be concluded that the legislation of inclusive education in Indonesia which explained that each of the inclusive school is required to have at least one SET (Ministry of Education Act No. 70/2009) has not been implemented by all-inclusive schools in Indonesia. Without a regulation of SET, the existence of SET will become unclear.

3.2 SET Recruitment Process in Inclusive School

Table 1 showed that most of the SETs (64.9%) stated that the recruitment process was through the formation by school (honorary teacher). Meanwhile, some other SETs stated that the recruitment process was through the formation by district/city/province government (9.4%) and through aide-teacher from special school (2.3%). Some teachers (23.4%) also added that the recruitment process was through the additional teaching hours and additional task as SET (class teacher with additional task). These results show that the numbers of SET in inclusive schools who have government employee status are very few. These findings indicate that the Ministerial Regulation No 70/2009, particularly article 10 which obligates the district/city government to provide at least one SET in every inclusive school, has not been implemented optimally.
Table 1: SET Recruitment Process.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SET Recruitment Process</th>
<th>Sum</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Formation by district/city/province government</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>9.4%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Formation by school (apprentice/part-time teacher)</td>
<td>172</td>
<td>64.9%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Aide-teacher from other schools</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>2.3%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Class teacher with additional task</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>23.4%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

In terms of the requirement of SET, the results show that 78.5% SETs reported had no requirements demanded to be a SET, while only 21.5% SETs stated there were some requirements to be a SET. The requirements as a SET include: (1) graduating from Special Education Department, (2) having comprehension and experience of special education, (3) having a professional background (Occupational Therapist, Speech Therapy, Physical Therapy, and Psychology), (4) having experience and being able to handle children with special needs. In terms of the SET selection process, the results showed that most of the SETs (94.0%) stated there was no a certain selection process to be a SET, while 6.0% SETs stated that there was a certain selection process to be a SET. Thus, most of the SETs in inclusive schools do not have the qualifications and competency standards required. This condition is certainly contrary to the legislation which states that each teacher is required to have a minimum qualification of undergraduate degree to meet the pedagogical, personality, social and professional competence (Act No. 14 of 2005 on Teachers and Lecturers).

To be a SET requires specialized professional education and skills. In the states of the USA like in Arizona, a bachelor's degree is a minimum qualification of SET, with specialized skills and sufficient field experience in dealing with disabilities. License as SET obtained only from the special education program accredited by the National Council for Accreditation of Teacher Education (NCATE). In developing countries like Vietnam, there are two categories for the preparation of SET, (1) minimal undergraduate (S1) or third diploma (D3) of specialized professional education, or (2) taking inclusive education program courses with a special material. Today most of the teacher training colleges in Vietnam have been offering inclusive education curriculum at all levels (Nguyet and Thu, 2010).

3.3 SET Employment Status in Inclusive School

Table 2 shows that the majority of SETs (44.2%) are civil servant teachers with additional duties as SET, 50.1% SETs are apprentice/part-time teachers, and 5.7% SETs are permanent foundation employees.

Table 2: SET Employment Status.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SET Employment Status</th>
<th>Sum</th>
<th>Percent</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Civil servants (with additional duties as SET)</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>44.2%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Permanent foundation employees</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>5.7%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Apprentice/part-time teachers</td>
<td>134</td>
<td>50.1%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Based on the results, it can be concluded that the SET employment status is largely the apprentice/part-time teachers, so they do not get an adequate salary standards and clear guidance career as teachers in general. This condition is not appropriate because the SET has important tasks and job in dealing with special needs children in inclusive schools (Takala et al., 2009; Pierangelo, 2004; The NCPSE, 2002).

3.4 SET Work Guidelines in Inclusive School

SET is a special profession which requires certain qualifications and competence (Act No. 14 of 2005). As professional, SETs should run their duties based on standard operating procedure (SOP) according to the legislation of process standard (Ministry of Education Act No.22/2016). The results showed that most of the SETs (51.7%) work with a written guideline, while 48.3% SETs work without a written guideline. Most of the SETs who claim to have a written guideline (81.5%) stated that the guideline does not meet the requirement of work standard of SET.

According to Takala et al (2009), SET work includes three things (1) teaching, (2) consulting services, and (3) the background work. It is also explained by Pierangelo (2004) that SET is not only a direct teaching, but also as a work and performing collaboration and consultation. It can be concluded that SETs have various tasks (Pierangelo, 2004; NCPSE, 2002). Thus, the SET working guideline in inclusive schools in Indonesia needs to be clarified with a written guideline, so the SETs can do their tasks professionally.
3.5 SET Competence in Inclusive School

Table 3 showed the descriptive statistic of the SET competence in inclusive schools. The mean score of SET competence is 293.95, with minimum score 149.00 and maximum score 419.00. Based on analysis of categorization refers to the normal curve, the score can be divided into five categories, excellent, good, adequate, less and very less.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Competence</th>
<th>N</th>
<th>Minimum score</th>
<th>Maximum score</th>
<th>Mean</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Competence</td>
<td>265</td>
<td>149.00</td>
<td>419.00</td>
<td>293.95</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4: SET Competence Category.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>SET Competence Category</th>
<th>Range Score</th>
<th>Sum</th>
<th>Percent (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Least</td>
<td>95 – 114</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Less</td>
<td>115 – 200</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Adequate</td>
<td>201 – 275</td>
<td>85</td>
<td>32.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Good</td>
<td>276 – 351</td>
<td>143</td>
<td>54.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Excellent</td>
<td>352 – 475</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>11.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 4 showed that most of the SETs (54%) had good competence; 32.1% SETs had adequate competence, 11.3% respondents had excellent competence, and only 2.6% respondents had less competence. It can be concluded that the competence SET (professional competence, pedagogy, personality, social, and special education competence) in inclusive schools in Indonesia are mostly in good categories. The result of this research has progressed slightly as compared to previous studies (Martika et al., 2016; Gumahadi et al., 2016; Gumahadi et al., 2012). Gumahadi et al. (2016) found that the level of knowledge and pedagogical skills of SETs in 3 districts of Central Java are in average and good category. The SET competence in this study is still better than the regular teacher competence, especially in special education competence (Martika et al., 2016).

According to the act (Teacher Act No. 14/2005), teacher should have 4 kinds of basic competencies (pedagogical, personality, social and professional competence). These results indicated that although the employment status of SETs was still unclear, but they still showed professional performances. Therefore, their status must be recognized and protected. They hope that their career in the future will be recognized as well as teachers in general.

4 CONCLUSIONS

The results of the study concluded that (1) the existence of SET in inclusive schools still faced with problems in terms of regulation, recruitment, employment status, and work guideline, (2) the ministerial regulation No. 70/2009 about inclusive education has not been implemented optimally in inclusive schools, (3) the competence (pedagogy, professional, personality, social, and special education competence) of SETs in inclusive schools in Indonesia are mostly in good and adequate category. Therefore, special regulations of SET in Indonesia must be drafted, so the existence and the future of SET in inclusive schools in Indonesia can be more protected as well as teachers in general and the SET are able to work more professionally in inclusive schools.
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